

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/792,141	•	03/02/2004	Matthew L. Bibee	X-1537 US	4860
24309	7590	03/30/2006		EXAMINER	
XILINX, I	NC			SEMENENK	O, YURIY
ATTN: LEC		ARTMENT		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN JOSE,	-	.24		2841	

DATE MAILED: 03/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				B/)
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/792,141	BIBEE, MATTHEW L.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Yuriy Semenenko	2841	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication in the second control of	ation appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
VVHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failt Any	CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOI CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI insions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu- ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply wire reply received by the Office later than three months after led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may ilication. tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mi II, by statute, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		4		
1)[🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 31 January 2006		
• -	•) This action is non-final.		
	Since this application is in condition fo		itters, prosecution as to the merits is	
-,-	closed in accordance with the practice	·		
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5) <u> </u>	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the appear of the above claim(s) <u>12-26</u> is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
,— 10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 February 20</u> Applicant may not request that any objecti Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to be	0.04 is/are: a) \square accepted or b) \square on to the drawing(s) be held in abey the correction is required if the drawing.	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ɪg(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)) .
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International See the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have been received. ocuments have been received in f the priority documents have been al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage	
2) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	O-948) Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date	
- —	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P er No(s)/Mail Date	TO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of 6) ☐ Other: _	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/792,141

Art Unit: 2841

DETAILED ACTION

Restriction election

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I: claims 1-11 drawn to a printed circuit board in the reply filed on 01/31/2006 is acknowledged.

Claim Objections

2.1. Claims 13-16 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claims 13-16 should be amended to change "The printed circuit board " to "The printed circuit board assembly" as dependent from claim 12, which claimed " A printed circuit board assembly".

Appropriate correction is required.

2.2. Claim 8 and 11 are identical. Claims are claimed same subject matter twice.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

As to claims 8 and 11: Claims 8 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Unclear what is limitation "said pair of rows of vias....remains open".

Application/Control Number: 10/792,141

Art Unit: 2841

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4.1. Claims 1- 4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Payne et al. (PGPub #2004/0264153) hereinafter Payne.

As to claim 1: Payne discloses in Fig. 14 a printed circuit board 50 having signal vias 52a and ground vias 53a, said printed circuit board comprising: a first row of vias 52a having a plurality of signal vias (first row of signal vias from left side of the Fig. 1); a second row of vias 52a having a plurality of signal vias (second row of signal vias from left side of the Fig. 1), said second row of vias being consecutive with said first row of vias; and a plurality of rows of vias 53a between said first row of vias and said second row of vias, said plurality of rows of vias being coupled to a ground plane (column 5, lines [0065]).

As to claim 2: Payne discloses in Fig. 14 the printed circuit board of claim 1 wherein said plurality of rows of vias 53a comprises at least one row of vias receiving leads 250 of a component 200 (Fig. 12a, column 2, [0057]).

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/792,141 Page 4

Art Unit: 2841

As to claim 3: Payne discloses in Fig. 14 the printed circuit board of claim 2 wherein said plurality of rows of vias comprises at least one row of vias 53a adjacent said first row of vias 52a.

As to claim 4: Payne discloses in Fig. 14 the printed circuit board of claim 3 wherein said plurality of rows of vias comprises at least one row of vias 53a adjacent said second row of vias 52a.

As to claim 6: Payne discloses in Fig. 14 the printed circuit board of claim 3 wherein said plurality of rows of vias 53a between said first row of vias 52a and said second row of vias 52a comprises a row of vias receiving a ground lead 254a of a component 250.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 2841

5.1. Claims 7, 8 and 11 are rejected under 35U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Payne in view of Goergen (Patent # 6822876) hereinafter Goergen.

As to claim 7: Payne discloses in Fig. 14 a printed circuit board 50 having signal vias 52a and ground vias 53a, said printed circuit board comprising: rows of vias having signal vias 52a, said signal vias receiving leads 240 of a component 200, Fig. 12b; a rows of vias having ground vias between said rows of vias having signal vias; and a row of vias having ground vias 53a between the rows of vias having ground vias, said ground vias 53a receiving other leads 250 of said component 200,

except, Payne does not explicitly teach a pair of rows of vias.

Goergen discloses in Fig. 4 a differential pair of rows of vias 102, 104, 106, 108 (column 7, lines 1-2). And further this differential pair remains aligned with a row of ground thru-holes 120a, 120b, Fig. 5.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at time the invention was made, for Payne to include in his invention a pair of rows of vias to produce a reliable communication pair differential-signaling speed up 10.7 Gbps backplane, as taught by Goergen.

As to claims 8 and 11: Examiner interprets the language claims 8 and 11 "pair of rows of vias having ground vias remains open" to mean that the ground via has a pad for connecting to component not merely directly connecting pin of component to via. Payne, as modified, discloses the printed circuit board, having all of the claimed features as discussed above with respect claim 7 wherein the rows of vias having ground vias 53a, Fig. 14 remains open. Ground conductor is connecting to conductive via 53a by mounting pad 53 (page 5, [0062]),

except, Payne does not explicitly teach a pair of rows of vias.

Art Unit: 2841

Goergen discloses in Fig. 4 a differential pair of rows of vias 102, 104, 106, 108 (column 7, lines 1-2). And further this differential pair remains aligned with a row of ground thru-holes 120a, 120b, Fig. 5.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at time the invention was made, for Payne to include in his invention a pair of rows of vias to produce a reliable communication pair differential-signaling speed up 10.7 Gbps backplane, as taught by Goergen.

5.2. Claims 5, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Payne view of Goergen and in view of Cartier et al. (Patent # 6639154) hereinafter Cartier.

As to claims 5 and 9: Payne, as modified, discloses the printed circuit board, having all of the claimed features as discussed above with respect claim 4 (7), wherein at least one of vias receiving leads of a component,

except, Payne does not explicitly teach said rows of vias adjacent said first row of vias and said second row of vias comprise vias having a smaller diameter than vias of said at least one of vias receiving leads of a component.

Cartier discloses in Fig. 2 and 3 the rows of vias 48 adjacent said first row of vias 46 and said second row of vias comprise vias having a smaller diameter than vias 48 of the at least one of vias 50.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at time the invention was made, for Payne to include in his invention that said rows of vias adjacent said first row of vias and said second row of vias comprise vias having a smaller diameter than vias of said at least one of vias receiving leads of a component as taught by Cartier because Cartier teaches that such configuration provide positioning of the ground vias closer to the signal via (column 6, lines 63-67 and lines 7, lines 1-7).

As to claim 10: Payne, as modified, discloses the printed circuit board, having all of the claimed features as discussed above with respect claim 7,

Application/Control Number: 10/792,141 Page 7

Art Unit: 2841

except, Payne does not explicitly teach said [pair] of rows of vias having ground vias provides return current paths for signals in said signal vias.

Cartier discloses rows of vias having ground vias provides return current paths for signals in said signal vias (column 7, lines 43-47).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at time the invention was made, for Payne to include in his invention rows of vias having ground vias provides return current paths for signals in said signal vias, as taught by Cartier because Cartier teaches that such configuration provide superior electrical contact with connector (column 7, lines 45-47).

Payne also fail to disclose that row is a pair of rows of vias.

Goergen discloses in Fig. 4 a differential pair of rows of vias 102, 104, 106, 108 (column 7, lines 1-2). And further this differential pair remains aligned with a row of ground thru-holes 120a, 120b, Fig. 5.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at time the invention was made, for Payne to include in his invention a pair of rows of vias to produce a reliable communication pair differential-signaling speed up 10.7 Gbps backplane, as taught by Goergen.

- 6.1. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yuriy Semenenko whose telephone number is (571) 272-6106. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am 5:00pm.
- 6.2. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamand Cuneo can be reached on (571)- 272-1957. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2841

6.3. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

YS

SUPERVISORY PATENT AVERCINER
TECHNOLOGY CERTUR FROD